

Apr 1st IAB #1 to NSCID #3

Production Schedule JCS Priority List
will be removed excel from present 100 copies

STATINTL

will be downgraded to Confidential

x Air "with Navy participation"

x Budget - Is Basic Intelligence effort necessary
Funds + Personnel augmentation. "
NSC to back requests for additional funds x

x DATE Last Rpt of Feb 20 Ad Hoc Report

Army 40% State 25% Navy 15%-20% Air 5% CIA

Date is IMPORTANT

therefore funds + personnel
reallocated
Practicing program
Basic data for future estimates
Realignment of personnel
Reallocation of funds or decrease of appropriation
from Nat Defense funds

IAC Chief to Secretaries to NSC

to meet

*USAF Declass/Release
Instructions On File*

SECRET

20036

CIG 4.24

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

SUBJECT: Final Report of Ad Hoc Committee on
NIS Program

1. The subject paper is:

- a. Approved without change
- b. Approved, subject to the minor
amendments set out below
- c. Disapproved

X

2. Suggestions or amendments:

See attached statement

NIS Committee Member to be:

George C. McDonald
(Signature)

GEORGE C. McDONALD
Major General (Department or Agency)
Director of Intelligence, Office of
Deputy Chief of Staff, Operations
(Title)

1 APR 1948

Return to: Room 7123
Federal Works Building
14th & F Streets, N. W.

SECRET

Suggestions or amendments to memo of 9 March 1948 entitled
"Final Report of Ad Hoc Committee on NIS Program"

JOINT STAFF: (Todd)

Delete the entire chapter titled "Production Schedule" and reference to "JCS Priority List" in chapter "General Instructions paragraph 7 c (F) for reasons of security.

It is suggested that this information be issued separately on a "need to know" basis.

ARMY: (Col. Williams)

Approved without change

STATE: (Armstrong)

With respect to para 7(f) of the Ad Hoc Committee report, there is complete agreement with the first three sentences. It is believed unrealistic, however, to attempt to establish even a target date for completion of the studies on the JCS Priority List until a production schedule has been worked out which will take account of the capabilities of the participating departments and agencies. Accordingly it is recommended that the last two sentences in para 7(f), beginning "The Committee believes.....," be deleted and the following be substituted therefor:

"The Committee recommends that the first task of the NIS Committee, when constituted, be the formulation of a production schedule which will take account of the production capabilities of the participating agencies and will permit the establishment of the earliest practicable target date for the completion of the studies on the JCS Priority List."

ABC: (Gingrich)

As presently written, Section 73 (Chapter VII) implies that the field of atomic energy is identical with nuclear physics. This is, of course, not the case; nuclear physics is only one of several scientific and engineering fields which play an important role in an atomic energy program. It is therefore suggested that the outline for the atomic energy survey should be reworded so as not to limit itself to nuclear physics. This can apparently be accomplished most readily by substituting the phrase "the field of atomic energy" where "nuclear physics" occurs in the present version of the section.

NAVY: (Ingles)

(1) The Joint Chiefs of Staff priority list is the only item in this report which is classified as Secret and hence if it were removed the remainder of the folder as a whole could be classified as Confidential. In view of the fact that some agencies are contemplating circulating this folder to attaches, such removal is recommended for security reasons. It is believed such removal is further indicated because of the changeable nature of such a priority list.

(2) It is believed that the tentative allocation of responsibility for preparation of the NIS is apt to be confused if circulated to attaches and it is, therefore, recommended that it be removed from the folder. Such removal is further indicated because such list is tentative and therefore subject to change.

(3) The recommended production schedule cannot be met until Agency production capabilities are brought into balance with NIS requirements.

(4) The required agency capabilities, in at least many cases, cannot be accomplished through normal fiscal practice until the 1950 budget becomes effective, assuming that the requested funds are approved by Congress.

(5) The Naval interest in and contribution to Air Intelligence should be recognized by assigning responsibility as follows:

"Air Force with Navy participation".

(6) The allocations of responsibility should be approved on a tentative basis, incorporating the recommendation contained in paragraph (5) above.

(7) The NIS Production Schedule should be coordinated with the JIB Production Schedule.

(8) Suggestions for minor corrections of form and of a typographical nature are being submitted separately.

(9) It is requested that a meeting of the IAC be called to discuss the above matter.

AIR: (McDonald)

a. The following changes be made in "Tentative Allocation of Responsibility for Preparation of NIS." (additions underlined - deletions marked out).

(1) Section 37 - Air Force

(2) Section 57 - State with the collaboration of Army, Navy, Air Force and CIA.

AIR: (Continued)

(3) Section 64E - Air Force.

(4) Section 83 - Air Force (~~Pending further action by Secretary of National Defense.~~)

(5) Section 95 C - Air Force (with assistance of appropriate agencies)

b. Throughout the document where reference is made to the Department of the Air Force and the reference is "Air" it be changed to read "Air Force." (example Chapter V, Section 57, Subversive. Note:-)

c. In the interest of resolving the issue raised by the non-concurrence of the Navy member of the Ad Hoc Committee, it is suggested that the following phraseology be inserted as a preface to the allocations and following the title heading thereof:

"Neither the following allocations nor any interpretation thereof shall negate the basic principle that each department is responsible for the production of that intelligence which is responsive to its departmental mission."